

Induced matchings in graphs of degree at most 4

Viet Hang Nguyen
EPFL, Switzerland
viethang.nguyen@epfl.ch

Abstract

We show that if G is a connected graph of maximum degree at most 4, which is not $C_{2,5}$, then the strong matching number of G is at least $\frac{1}{9}n(G)$. This bound is tight and the proof implies a polynomial time algorithm to find an induced matching of this size.

1 Introduction

An *induced matching* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is an edge set $M \subseteq E$ such that each vertex of G is incident to at most one edge in M (i.e., M is a matching) and if $ab, cd \in M$ then none of the edges ac, ad, bc, bd is in E . The maximum size of an induced matching in G is called the *strong matching number* of G and is denoted by $\nu_s(G)$.

While a maximum matching can be efficiently found in every graph [10], the problem of computing the strong matching number is NP-hard even in quite restricted classes. It is proved to be NP-hard for subcubic bipartite graphs [21, 4, 19], C_4 -free bipartite graphs [19], line graphs [17] or cubic planar graphs [9]. In fact, even for 3-regular bipartite graphs, there is some constant $c > 1$ such that the problem cannot be approximated within a factor of c unless $P = NP$ [22].

On the positive side, a maximum induced matching can be found efficiently in several classes of graphs such as weakly chordal graphs [6], AT-free graphs [7], graphs of bounded clique-width [17], and several other classes [2, 3, 5, 12, 13, 19].

One direction in recent research on induced matching is to lower bound the strong matching number of a graph G in terms of its maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and its order $n(G)$ or its number of edges $m(G)$. Let G be a connected graph, an easy observation [22] yields

$$\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{2(2\Delta(G)^2 - 2\Delta(G) + 1)}.$$

Joos [15] proved a sharp bound for Δ sufficiently large

$$\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{(\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \rceil + 1)(\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

He conjectured that this bound holds for all $\Delta \geq 3$ except for $G \in \{C_{2,5}, K_{3,3}^+\}$. Here $K_{3,3}^+$ is the graph obtained from $K_{3,3}$ by subdividing an edge by a new vertex and $C_{2,5}$ is the graph obtained from C_5 by separating each vertex into two non adjacent vertices (see Figure 1). It is easy to see that $K_{3,3}^+$ is a subcubic graph, $C_{2,5}$ is a 4-regular graph and $\nu_s(K_{3,3}^+) = \nu_s(C_{2,5}) = 1$.




Figure 1: $C_{2,5}$ and $K_{3,3}^+$

For connected subcubic graphs, Joos, Rautenbach and Sasse [15] showed that $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{6}$ if $G \neq K_{3,3}$. This result, proved by simple local reduction, strengthens an earlier lower bound $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}m(G)$ in [18] for subcubic planar graphs.

This research direction seems to be inspired by a conjecture of Erdős and Nešetřil on the *strong chromatic number* $\chi'_s(G)$, i.e., the minimum number of induced matchings of G into which G can be partitioned.

Conjecture 1. *If G is a connected graph with maximum degree Δ then*

$$\chi'_s(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{5}{4}\Delta^2, & \Delta \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{1}{4}(5\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1), & \Delta \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The currently best known upper bound for the strong chromatic number is $\chi'_s(G) \leq 1.998\Delta^2$ when Δ is sufficiently large, due to Molloy and Reed [20]. Conjecture 1 is proved for subcubic graphs in [1, 14].

The conjecture of Erdős and Nešetřil, if true, implies that for a regular graph G of even degree Δ we have $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{2n(G)}{5\Delta}$, as observed in [16]. Note that Joos' conjectured bound strengthens this bound for $\Delta = 4$ and the result of Joos, Rautenbach and Sasse [16] confirms Joos' conjectured bound for $\Delta = 3$.

In this paper we prove the conjecture of Joos for $\Delta = 4$, namely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $G \neq C_{2,5}$ be a connected graph with maximum degree at most 4. Then the strong matching number of G is at least $\frac{1}{5}n(G)$.*

2 Proof of the main theorem

We first need some notations. For a subset X of V we denote by $G[X]$ the subgraph of G induced by the vertices of X and we use $G - X$ to denote $G[V - X]$. The number of isolated vertices of a subgraph H of G is denoted by $i(H)$. For $X \subset V$ we denote by $d^{out}(X)$ the number of edges between X and $V - X$. When $X = \{v\}$, $d^{out}(X)$ is simply the degree of v in G and is written as $d(v)$. The set of vertices adjacent to a vertex v in G is denoted by $N(v)$, noting that $|N(v)| = d(v)$. The minimum degree of a vertex in G is denoted by $\delta(G)$.

In the remainder of this section we prove the following equivalent form of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1'. *Let G be a graph with maximum degree at most 4 and G has no connected component which is $C_{2,5}$. Then*

$$\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - i(G)).$$

We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that G is a counterexample to Theorem 1' of minimum order, namely, $\Delta(G) \geq 4$,

$$\nu_s(G) < \frac{1}{9}n(G), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\text{for every proper subgraph } G' \text{ of } G, \nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')). \quad (2)$$

It is worth remarking that the minimality of G implies that G is connected and every subgraph of G is not $C_{2,5}$.

The main point of our proof is to show that G satisfies

$$G \text{ has girth at least 5 and } \delta(G) \geq 3. \quad (3)$$

First, let us see how (3) implies contradiction. Suppose that G satisfies (3). Let uv be any edge of G . Then G is connected by the assumption on minimality. Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$. Then $|X| \leq 8$ as the maximum degree of G is at most 4. Since the girth of G is at least 5, each vertex in $V(G) - X$ is adjacent to at most 2 vertices in X . Combining this with the assumption $\delta(G) \geq 3$ we obtain that there is no isolated vertex in $G' = G - X$. Moreover, $G' \neq C_{2,5}$ as remarked above. Therefore, $\nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G')$ holds. It is easy to see that if M is an induced matching of G' then $M \cup \{uv\}$ is an induced matching of G . Therefore,

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 1 = \nu_s(G') + 1 \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G') + 1 \geq \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 8) > \frac{1}{9}n(G)$$

holds, contradicting (1).

Next, we will prove (3) through a sequence of claims using local reduction, similar to [16]. We call a vertex of degree 1 in G an *end-vertex*. For an induced subgraph G' of G we denote by $I(G')$ the set of isolated vertices in G' and $I_j(G') \subseteq I(G')$ the set of isolated vertices in G' which has degree j in G . The cardinalities of $I(G')$, $I_j(G')$ are denoted by $i(G')$, $i_j(G')$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, respectively. Then we have

$$i(G') = i_1(G') + i_2(G') + i_3(G') + i_4(G'). \quad (4)$$

For $X \subset V$, if $G' = G - X$ then, since each vertex in $I(G')$ is adjacent only to vertices in X , one can see that

$$d^{out}(X) \geq i_1(X) + 2i_2(X) + 3i_3(X) + 4i_4(X). \quad (5)$$

We also have

$$d^{out}(X \cup I(G')) = d^{out}(X) - (i_1(X) + 2i_2(X) + 3i_3(X) + 4i_4(X)). \quad (6)$$

Claim 1. *The neighbor of an end-vertex has degree 4.*

Proof. Let u be an end-vertex and v its unique neighbor. Suppose to the contrary that $d(v) \leq 3$. Let $X = \{v\} \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then a simple counting shows that $|X| \leq 4$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 6$, thus $i(G') \leq 6$, by (4) and (5).

If both $i(G') = 6$ and $|X| = 4$ hold, G is the graph in Figure 2 and it is easy to see that $\nu_s(G) = 2 > \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, contradicting (1).

If $i(G') < 6$ or $|X| < 4$, then, noting that $n(G') = n(G) - |X|$, we have $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 9) = \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, again a contradiction to (1). $\square$




Figure 2: The graph in the proof of Claim 1; thick edges indicate the induced matching.

Claim 2. *No two end-vertices have a common neighbor.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that two end-vertices u_1, u_2 have a common neighbor v . Then $d(v) = 4$ by Claim 1. Let $X = \{v\} \cup N(v) = \{v, u_1, u_2, w_1, w_2\}$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| = 5$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 6$.

If $i(G') \leq 4$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, let us suppose that $i(G') \geq 5$. Then both w_1, w_2 must be adjacent to some end-vertices, say t_1, t_2 , and moreover w_1 and w_2 are not adjacent, otherwise $d^{out}(X) \leq 4$, which implies $i(G') \leq 4$, a contradiction.

Let $X' = X \cup N(w_1) \cup N(w_2)$ and $G'' = G - X'$. Then $|X'| \leq 11$ and $d^{out}(X') \leq 3$, which implies $i(G'') \leq 3$ (see Figure 3). Since for every induced matching M of G'' , $M \cup \{w_1t_1, w_2t_2\}$ is an induced matching of G , we have

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G'') \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G'') - i(G'')) \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 11 - 3) > \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

a contradiction. $\square$




Figure 3: An illustration for the proof of Claim 2

Claim 3. *No two end-vertices have distance 4 in G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that two end-vertices u_1 and u_2 have distance 4 in G and $u_1v_1wv_2u_2$ is a path of length 4 linking u_1 and u_2 . Consider $X = \{v_1, v_2\} \cup N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)$. Then $|X| \leq 9$, and $d^{out}(X) \leq 14$. From (4) and (5) we have $d^{out}(X) \geq 2i(G') - i_1(G')$. Thus, if $i(G') \geq 10$ then $i_1(G') \geq 2i(G') - d^{out}(X) \geq 6$. However, vertices in $I_1(G')$ are only adjacent to vertices in set $X - \{v_1, v_2, u_1, v_1\}$, which consists of at most 5 vertices. Hence, there are two end-vertices in $I_1(G')$ that have a common neighbor, contradicting Claim 2. Therefore, $i(G') \leq 9$, and, noting that each induced matching M of G' can be extended to an induced matching $M \cup \{u_1v_1, u_2v_2\}$ of G , we derive that

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G') \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')) \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 9 - 9) = \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

a contradiction to (1). (See Figure 4.) □




Figure 4: An illustration for the proof of Claim 3

Claim 4. $\delta(G) \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that u is a vertex of degree 1 and v is its unique neighbor in G . Then, by Claim 1, v has 3 other neighbors w_1, w_2, w_3 . Let $X = \{u, v, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and $G' = G - X$. Since each induced matching M of G' can be extended to an induced matching $M \cup \{uv\}$ of G , if $i(G') \leq 4$ then

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 5 - 4) = \frac{1}{9}n(G)$$

holds, contradicting (1). Therefore, let us suppose that $i(G') \geq 5$. Since

$$2i(G') - i_1(G') \leq d^{out}(X) \leq 9,$$

we must have $i_1(G') \geq 1$. By Claim 2 and 3, if s and t are two vertices in $I_1(G')$ then they are adjacent to two distinct vertices w_i and w_j and furthermore, w_i and w_j are adjacent. Hence,

$$d^{out}(X) \leq 9 - 2(i_1(G') - 1) = 11 - 2i_1(G').$$

Therefore, by (5), $11 \geq 3i_1(G') + 2i_2(G') + 3i_3(G') + 4i_4(G')$ holds, which, together with $i_1(G') \geq 1$ and $i(G') \geq 5$, implies that $i_1(G') = 1, i_2(G') = 4, i_3(G') = i_4(G') = 0$ and furthermore w_1, w_2, w_3 all have degree 4 and are not adjacent. But then $d^{out}(X \cup I(G')) = 0$ and hence $n(G) = 10$. Let s be the unique vertex in $I_1(G')$ and suppose without loss of generality that its unique neighbor in G is w_1 . Since $d(w_1) = 4$ and $i_2(G') = 4$, there is a vertex t in $I_2(G')$ that is not adjacent to w_1 . Then, $\{w_1s, w_2t\}$ is an induced matching of G , and thus $\nu_s(G) \geq 2 > \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, a contradiction. □

Claim 5. *No two vertices of degree 2 are adjacent.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that u, v are two adjacent vertices of degree 2. Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| = 4$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 6$. Remembering that $i_1(G) = 0$ by Claim 4, we see that $i(G') \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d^{out}(X) \rfloor \leq 3$ by (5). Since for each induced matching M of G' , $M \cup \{uv\}$ is an induced matching of G , it follows that

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G')) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 4 - 3) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$$

holds, a contradiction. $\square$

Claim 6. *No vertex of degree 2 is contained in a triangle.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that u is a vertex of degree 2 which is contained in a triangle and v is one of its neighbors. Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| \leq 5$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 8$. On the other hand, by Claim 4 and (5), $d^{out}(X) \geq 2i_2(G') + 3i_3(G') + 4i_4(G')$ and $i(G') = i_2(G') + i_3(G') + i_4(G')$ hold. Hence $i(G') \leq d^{out}(X)/2 \leq 4$. Therefore,

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 5 - 4) = \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Claim 7. *No vertex of degree 2 is contained in a cycle of length 4.*

Proof. Suppose that u is a vertex of degree 2 which is contained in a cycle uvw of length 4. Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| \leq 6$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 10$, thus $i(G') \leq d^{out}(X)/2 \leq 5$.

If $i(G') \leq 3$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, contradicting (1). Hence we may suppose that $i(G') \geq 4$. Then there exists a vertex s in $I(G')$ that is not adjacent to t . Since $\delta(s) \geq 2$ by Claim 4, s is adjacent to a vertex r in $N(v) - \{u, w\}$. Also we have $|N(X \cup I(G'))| \leq d^{out}(X \cup I(G')) \leq d^{out}(X) - 2i(G') \leq 2$, where the second inequality follows from (4), (5), (6) and Claim 4.

Now let $X' = X \cup I(G') \cup N(X \cup I(G'))$ and $G'' = G - X'$. Then $|X'| \leq |X| + i(G') + |N(X \cup I(G'))| \leq 6 + 5 + 2 = 13$. Moreover, $d^{out}(X') \leq 3|N(X \cup I(G'))| \leq 6$. Hence $i(G'') \leq d^{out}(X')/2 \leq 3$. Since for each induced matching M of G'' , $M \cup \{ut, rs\}$ is an induced matching of G'' , it follows that

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G'') \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

contradicting (1). (see Figure 5) $\square$

Claim 8. $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that u is a vertex of degree 2 in G and v, w are its neighbors. Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| \leq 6$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 12$. By Claim 7, no vertex of degree 2 is contained in a cycle of length 4, thus w is not adjacent to any neighbor of v other than u . Now if $i(G') \leq 3$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq 1 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 6 - 3) = \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, a contradiction.

So let us suppose that $i(G') \geq 4$. Then there is a vertex $s \in I(G')$ that is not adjacent to w , note that then s is adjacent only to vertices in $N(v) - \{u\}$, so s is contained in a cycle

Let us suppose that $i(G') \geq 3$. It is easy to see that $d^{out}(X) \leq 14$. Hence, by Claim 8 and (5), $i(G') = i_3(G') + i_4(G') \leq \lfloor d^{out}(X)/3 \rfloor \leq 4$. Since $|N(w) - X| \leq 2$, and $\delta(G) \geq 3$ by Claim 8, there exists a vertex $s \in I(G')$ such that s is not adjacent to w . Then s is adjacent to a vertex $r \in N(v) - \{u, w\}$ and $\{uw, sr\}$ is an induced matching of G .

Let $X' = X \cup I(G') \cup N(w) \cup N(r)$ and $G'' = G - X'$. Let $Y = (N(w) \cup N(r)) - (X \cup I(G'))$. Then by simple counting one can see that $|Y| \leq 4$ and $|X'| = |X| + i(G') + |Y| \leq 11 + i(G')$.

Since each vertex in Y is adjacent to at least one vertex in X and to at most 3 vertices outside X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{out}(X') &\leq d^{out}(X \cup I(G')) - |Y| + 3|Y| \\ &\leq d^{out}(X) - 3i(G') + 2|Y|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from 6 and Claim 8. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |X'| + i(G'') &\leq 11 + i(G') + \left\lfloor \frac{d^{out}(X')}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq 11 + \left\lfloor \frac{d^{out}(X) + 2|Y|}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq 11 + \left\lfloor \frac{14 + 2 \times 4}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &= 18. \end{aligned}$$

Since each induced matching M of G'' can be extended to an induced matching $M \cup \{uw, sr\}$ of G , it follows that

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G'') \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 18) = \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

holds, contradicting (1). □

Claim 10. *If a vertex u is contained in a cycle of length 4 then $d(u) \geq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that u is contained in a cycle $C = uvxy$ of length 4 and $d(u) = 3$. Let v be the neighbor of u that is not contained in C . Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| \leq 7$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 13$. Hence, if $i(G') \leq 2$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$ holds, a contradiction. So let us suppose that $i(G') \geq 3$.

If $d(v) = 3$ then $|X| = 6$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 10$, thus $i(G') \leq 3$. Hence $\nu_s(G) \geq 1 + \nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$ holds, again a contradiction. So we may suppose that $d(v) = 4$ and $N(v) = \{u, x, t, r\}$.

We will use the following assertion.

Assertion 1. *If there is an induced matching in $G[X \cup I(G')]$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\{ab, cd\}$ be an induced matching in $G[X \cup I(G')]$. Let $X_1 = X \cup I(G') \cup N(\{a, b, c, d\})$ and $Y_1 = N(\{a, b, c, d\}) - (X \cup I(G'))$. Then since each vertex in Y_1 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{a, b, c, d\} \subset X \cup I(G')$ and $\delta(G) \geq 3$ by Claim 8, we have $|Y_1| \leq d^{out}(X \cup I(G')) - 3i(G') \leq 13 - 3 \times 3 = 4$. Using a similar argument as in the proof of Claim 9, we obtain

$$d^{out}(X_1) \leq d^{out}(X) - 3i(G') - |Y_1| + 3|Y_1| = d^{out}(X) - 3i(G') + 2|Y_1|,$$

and

$$|X_1| = |X| + |I(G')| + |Y_1|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1| + i(G_1) &\leq |X| + i(G') + |Y_1| + \frac{d^{out}(X_1)}{3} \\ &= |X| + \frac{5}{3}|Y_1| + \frac{d^{out}(X)}{3} \\ &\leq 7 + \frac{5}{3} \times 4 + \frac{13}{3} \\ &= 18. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since for each induced matching M of G'' , $M \cup \{ab, cd\}$ is an induced matching of G , we have

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G_1) \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 18) = \frac{1}{9}n(G),$$

a contradiction. $\square$

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Claim 10. Since $i(G') \geq 3$ and $|N(t) - X|, |N(r) - X| \leq 2$, by observing that each vertex in $I(G')$ must be adjacent to either r or t , we deduce that there are two vertices $s_1, s_2 \in I(G')$ such that s_1 is adjacent to r but not to t and s_2 is adjacent to t but not to r . Then since r and t are not adjacent by Claim 9, $\{s_1r, s_2t\}$ is an induced matching of $G[X \cup I(G')]$. So Assertion 1 implies contradiction. $\square$

Claim 11. *There is no cycle of length 4.*

Proof. Suppose that $C = uvxy$ is a cycle of length 4 in G . Let $X = N(u) \cup N(v)$ and $G' = G - X$. Then $|X| \leq 8$ and $d^{out}(X) \leq 16$. If $i(G') \leq 1$ then a similar argument as in previous claims yields $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, we may suppose that $i(G') \geq 2$.

Since there are no three vertices in X with pairwise distance 3 and each vertex in $I(G')$ has degree at least 3 we obtain that each vertex of $I(G')$ lies on a cycle of length 4. Therefore, by Claim 10 we have

$$\text{all vertices in } I(G') \text{ have degree 4.} \quad (7)$$

We will use the following assertion, which is similar to Assertion 1.

Assertion 2. *If there is an induced matching in $G[X \cup I(G')]$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\{ab, cd\}$ be an induced matching in $G[X \cup I(G')]$. Let $X_1 = X \cup I(G') \cup N(\{a, b, c, d\})$ and $Y_1 = N(\{a, b, c, d\}) - (X \cup I(G'))$.

We first prove that if $|Y_1| \leq 4$ then $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{1}{9}n(G)$, a contradiction. In fact, a similar argument as in the proof of Assertion 1 yields

$$d^{out}(X_1) \leq d^{out}(X) - 4i(G') - |Y_1| + 3|Y_1| = d^{out}(X) - 4i(G') + 2|Y_1|,$$

where the multiplicity 4 for $i(G')$ is due to (7). We also have

$$|X_1| = |X| + |I(G')| + |Y_1|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_1| + i(G_1) &\leq |X| + i(G') + |Y| + \frac{d^{out}(X_1)}{4} \\
&= |X| + \frac{3}{2}|Y_1| + \frac{d^{out}(X)}{4} \\
&\leq 8 + \frac{3}{2} \times 4 + \frac{16}{4} \\
&= 18.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\nu_s(G) \geq 2 + \nu_s(G_1) \geq 2 + \frac{1}{9}(n(G) - 18) = \frac{1}{9}n(G)$$

holds.

It remains to prove that $|Y_1| \leq 4$. Indeed, if $|\{a, b, c, d\} \cap I(G')| \geq 2$, then it is easy to see that $|Y_1| = |N(\{a, b, c, d\} - (X \cup I(G')))| \leq 4$. On the other hand, if $\{a, b, c, d\} \subset X$ then a simple counting shows that $d^{out}(X) \leq 12$ and hence $|Y_1| \leq d^{out}(X) - 4i(G') \leq 4$, where the coefficient 4 of $i(G')$ is due to (7). Thus we may suppose that $a \in I(G')$ and $\{b, c, d\} \subset X$, note that then $b \notin \{u, v\}$. Let $t \in I(G') - s$. First consider the case $\{c, d\} \cap \{u, v\} = \emptyset$. Since $d(s) = d(t) = 4$ and $|X - \{u, v, b, c, d\}| = 3$ we have that both s and t have a neighbor in $\{b, c, d\}$. This implies that $|Y_1| = |N(\{a, b, c, d\} - (X \cup I(G')))| \leq 4$, as desired. Next, consider the case $\{c, d\} = \{u, v\}$, it is easy to see that then $|Y_1| \leq 2$. Finally, consider the case $|\{c, d\} \cap \{u, v\}| = 1$, say $d \in \{u, v\}$ and $c \notin \{u, v\}$. Then since $|N(t) \cap \{x, y\}| \leq 1$, as G contains no triangle, $d(t) = 4$ and $|X - \{u, v, x, y\}| = 4$, we have that t must be adjacent to b or c . Hence again we obtain $|Y - 1| \leq 4$, as desired. $\square$

Now suppose that there is no induced matching of size 2 in $G[X \cup I(G')]$. We prove that $G = C_{2,5}$. Let $N(v) = \{u, x, a, b\}$ and $N(u) = \{v, y, c, d\}$. Since each vertex s in $I(G')$ is not adjacent to both x and y at the same time as G has no triangle, we may assume without loss of generality that there is an $s \in I(G')$ that is adjacent to a, c but not to y . Then since $\{sa, yu\}$ is not an induced matching of $G[X \cup I(G')]$ and since $ua \notin E$ by Claim 9, we must have $ya \in E$. (See Figure 7 for an illustration.) Now by considering pair $\{du, sa\}$, since $ua, us \notin E$, we see that either $da \in E$ or $sd \in E$, but not both of them belong to E .




Figure 7: An illustration of Case 1; dashed edges indicate newly “found” edges and thick edges indicate considered pair in each step.

Case 1: $da \in E$ and $sd \notin E$.

(See an illustration for this case in Figure 8)

Since $d(s) = 4$ we derive that $sx, sb \in E$. Consider pair $\{sx, ud\}$, we see that $dx \in E$ since $ux, us, ud \notin E$. Now let t be a vertex in $I(G') - \{s\} \neq \emptyset$, due to the assumption that




Figure 8: An illustration for Case 1; dashed edges indicate newly “found” edge and thick edges indicate considered pair in each step.

$I(G') \geq 2$. Then since $d(a) = d(x) = 4$, we know that $N(a) = \{s, t, x, y\}$, $N(x) = \{b, d, y, v\}$ and $ta \notin E$. Therefore, $N(t) \subseteq \{b, c, d, x, y\}$ holds. However, by considering pair $\{sb, ud\}$ we derive that $bd \in E$ which implies that G contains a triangle tbd , contradicting Claim 9.

Case 2: $sd \in E$ and $da \notin E$.

Consider pair $\{sc, bv\}$, using the fact that G contains no triangle from Claim 9, we see that either bs or bc is in E but not both of them. Hence we consider these cases separately.

Case 2.1: $bc \in E$ and $bs \notin E$.

(See Figure 9 for an illustration).

Then, since $d(s) = 4$ and $sy \notin E$, we have that $sx \in E$. Also we have $ac \notin E$, otherwise asc is a triangle in G , contradicting Claim 9. By considering pair $\{bc, ay\}$ we obtain that $by \in E$. Now let $t \in I(G') - \{s\} \neq \emptyset$. Since $N(y) = \{x, u, a, b\}$ and tb, tc do not both belong to E , we must have $tx, td, ta \in E$. Considering pair $\{uc, tx\}$, noting that $xc \notin E$ since otherwise scx is a triangle in G , we derive that $tc \in E$. Finally, by considering pair $\{by, sd\}$, we obtain that $bd \in E$. However then $V(G) = X$ and $G = C_{2,5}$, where the pairs corresponding to the vertices of C_5 are $\{a, x\}, \{v, y\}, \{b, u\}, \{c, d\}, \{s, t\}$, a contradiction to the assumption that $G \neq C_{2,5}$.

Case 2.2: $bs \in E$ and $bc \notin E$.

(See Figure 10 for an illustration.)

Then considering pair $\{sb, uy\}$ we derive that $yb \in E$. Now let $t \in I(G') - \{s\}$, then we may assume without loss of generality that $ta, tc \in E$. Considering pair $\{tc, by\}$, we have that $tb \in E$. Considering pair $\{ta, ud\}$, we obtain that $td \in E$. By considering pair $\{xy, tc\}$ we deduce that $xc \in E$. Finally, by considering pair $\{td, xv\}$ we derive that $xd \in E$. Therefore, $V(G) = X \cup I(G')$ and $G = C_{2,5}$ where the pairs corresponding to the vertices of C_5 are $\{x, u\}, \{v, y\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{s, t\}$, a contradiction to the assumption that $G \neq C_{2,5}$. $\square$

The example in Figure 11, due to Joos [15], shows that the lower bound in Theorem 1 is tight. It is easy to see that the proof of Theorem 1 implies a polynomial time algorithm to find an induced matching of size $\frac{1}{9}n(G)$.

References

- [1] L. D. Andersen, *The strong chromatic index of a cubic graph is at most 10*, Discrete Math. 108 (1992), no. 1-3, 231–252.




Figure 9: An illustration for Case 2.1; dashed edges indicate newly “found” edge and thick edges indicate considered pair in each step.




Figure 10: An illustration for Case 2.2; dashed edges indicate newly “found” edges and thick edges indicate considered pair in each step.

- [2] A. Brandstädt, E. Eschen, and R. Sritharan, *The induced matching and chain subgraph cover problems for convex bipartite graphs*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 381 (2007), no. 1-3, 260–265.




Figure 11: A tight example to Theorem 1.

- [3] A. Brandstädt and C. T. Hoàng, *Maximum induced matchings for chordal graphs in linear time*, Algorithmica 52 (2008), no. 4, 440–447.
- [4] K. Cameron, *Induced matchings*, Discrete Appl. Math. 24 (1989), no. 1-3, 97–102.
- [5] K. Cameron, *Induced matchings in intersection graphs*. Discrete Math. 278 (2004), no. 1-3, 1–9.
- [6] K. Cameron, R. Sritharan, and Y. Tang, *Finding a maximum induced matching in weakly chordal graphs*, Discrete Math. 266 (2003), no. 1-3, 133–142.
- [7] J.-M. Chang, *Induced matchings in asteroidal triple-free graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 132 (2003), no. 1-3, 67–78.
- [8] K. K. Dabrowski, M. Demange, and V. V. Lozin, *New results on maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs and beyond*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 478 (2013), 33–40.
- [9] W. Duckworth, D. F. Manlove, and M. Zito, *On the approximability of the maximum induced matching problem*, J. Discrete Algorithms 3 (2005), no. 1, 79–91.
- [10] J. Edmonds, *Paths, trees, and flowers*, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 449–467.
- [11] R. J. Faudree, R. H. Schelp, A. Gyárfás, and Zs. Tuza, *The strong chromatic index of graphs*. Ars Combin. 29 (1990), B, 205–211.
- [12] M. C. Golumbic, and R. C. Laskar, *Irredundancy in circular arc graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. 44 (1993), no. 1-3, 79–89.
- [13] M. C. Golumbic and M. Lewenstein, *New results on induced matchings*, Discrete Appl. Math. 101 (2000), no. 1-3, 157–165.
- [14] P. Horák, H. Qing, and W. T. Trotter, *Induced matchings in cubic graphs*, J. Graph Theory 17 (1993), no. 2, 151–160.
- [15] F. Joos, *Induced matchings in graphs of bounded maximum degree*, arXiv:1406.2440, June 2014.
- [16] F. Joos, D. Rautenbach, and T. Sasse, *Induced matchings in subcubic graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28 (2014), no. 1, 468–473.
- [17] D. Kobler and U. Rotics, *Finding maximum induced matchings in subclasses of claw-free and P_5 -free graphs, and in graphs with matching and induced matching of equal maximum size*, Algorithmica 37 (2003), no. 4, 327–346.

- [18] R. J. Kang, M. Mnich, and T. Müller, *Induced matchings in subcubic planar graphs* SIAM J. Discrete Math. 26 (2012), no. 3, 1383–1411.
- [19] V. V. Lozin *On maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs*, Inform. Process. Lett. 81 (2002), no. 1, 7–11.
- [20] M. Molloy and B. Reed, *A bound on the strong chromatic index of a graph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 69 (1997), no. 2, 103–109.
- [21] L. J. Stockmeyer and V. V. Vazirani, *NP-completeness of some generalizations of the maximum matching problem*, Inform. Process. Lett. 15 (1982), no. 1, 14–19.
- [22] M. Zito, *Induced matchings in regular graphs and trees*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 1665, Springer, Berlin, 1999.